STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

City of Nashua: Taking Of Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.

Docket No. DW 04-048

REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DONALD L. CORRELL

- 1 Q. Mr. Correll, have you previously provided testimony in this proceeding?
- 2 A. Yes. I submitted testimony on January 12, 2006.
- 3 Q. In their January 12 testimony, the witnesses from Veolia indicated that you
- 4 have been a proponent of public-private partnerships. Is that correct?
- 5 A. I definitely have been and continue to be a proponent of public-private
- 6 partnerships for the operation of governmentally owned utility infrastructure. In
- fact, that is the business that PWW's affiliate, Pennichuck Water Service
- 8 Corporation, is in. That is very different from believing that government should
- 9 own utility infrastructure in the first place or, worse yet, that it should take such
- infrastructure from effective, efficient private companies by eminent domain. Just
- as I believe that private ownership and operation of such assets under appropriate
- governmental regulation is the most effective and efficient means to deliver the
- highest level of service to customers, I also believe that in those cases where such
- assets are already under governmental ownership, substantial improvements in
- service and/or cost efficiencies can often be achieved through an effectively
- structured public-private partnership through an outsourcing of its operation to a
- private company. My support for public-private partnerships, therefore, has no
- relevance to this proceeding, other than as an articulation of my belief that private
- companies can in many cases do a better job operating such systems.
 - Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 21 A. Yes, at this time.

20